

**REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of	Confirm. No.:	1891
MOMOSE et al.	Atty. Ref.:	4255-21
Serial No. 10/551,250	TC/A.U.:	2625
Filed: July 12, 2006	Examiner:	Vincent Robert Peren
For: DISPLAY DEVICE OF ELECTRONIC APPARATUS		

**BOX AF**

**March 1, 2011**

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

**SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

In further response to the Official Action dated November 24, 2010, please substitute the present Amendment for the Amendment filed on February 24, 2011.

The undersigned received a telephone call from the Examiner on March 1, 2011. The Examiner explained that the formatting applied to the amendments appearing in claims 1 and 10 showed the language Applicants wished to add to these claims with strikethrough text, instead of underlined text. The present Supplemental Amendment properly shows the new language in underlined text.